| Recommendation |
| :---: |
| Energy Efficient Investments, Inc |
|  |


| SCHOOL BOARD POLICY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Policy: <br> Bid Requirement:$\quad \$ \quad 9,999.99$ |  |  |

## BID SUMMARY SHEET

$\frac{\text { Request for Quote }}{\text { Energy Performance Contracting Svcs }}$

$\frac{\text { Closed }}{3 / 2 / 22} \quad$| @ |
| :--- |
| 00 PM |



The decision to go out to bid to secure an energy service company to work with us in completing the controls project was made based on a few factors. As part of the fee,
the energy service company provides many services such as: providing the district with a complete updated energy audit, they design and write up the scope of work needed to go out get accurate prices from contractors, they oversee the installation of the project per the scope, they guarantee any energy savings if deemed part of the project. Energy service companies do this type of work across the state with many school districts. If an ESCO was not used the district would need to go out to bid to hire an engineer to perform the services. Hiring an engineer would be very similar to the process used by the town and the school to have the town water brought in. The scope of that project was outside the realm of our in house capabilities as well. We feel securing an ESCO to work with is the most efficient and effective way to complete this project and to get a new baseline of what future energy projects will be.

We did have 4 vendors that attended the mandatory pre-bid walk through at both locations: Energy Efficient Investmetns, Siemens, KT\&T Distributors, and Turn Cycle Solutions. Out of

