

Michael Manley called the meeting to order at 6:35 in the LRES Media Center. In attendance were committee members Beth Clark, Ken Hajjar, Carlos Maldonado, Michael Manley and Shelley Roy. District administrators Todd Ledoux (Safety/Facilities Director), Tina McCoy (Superintendent), Marjorie Whimore (Business Administrator) and Laura Yacek (LRES Principal) were also in attendance. Mike Davies with Energy Efficient Investments (EEI) in Merrimack, NH was in the meeting until he shared his company's estimate to bring the district energy efficiency and answered questions about it. Community members Carol and Wayne Wayjust sat in on the meet as well.

Ken Hajjar made a motion to accept the minutes from the meeting held on 8/13/19. Beth Clark seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Carlos Maldonado asked for questions regarding what the committee is charged with. Ken Hajjar inquired about what improvements have been made to LRES since the 2016 bond presentation other than the secure front entrance. Several people agreed that nothing else had been done due to the bond's failure to pass.

Mike Davies went over the estimate EEI put together after Todd Ledoux chose them to conduct an energy efficiency audit. This estimate is being attached to the minutes. EEI has worked with many SAU's in New Hampshire to implement similar plans. This plan was voted down when initially presented to the school board, in part due to the unclear future plans of the district since the addition to LRES had been voted down. This project will go to the school board again. There is a question as to whether to separate RHS and IHGMS and hold off on LRES. The two schools of thought are that it could be separated due to the uncertain future for LRES and that since we don't know if there will be anything done soon with LRES we are "kicking the can down the road" so to speak. There is a chance the school board will look to our committee when considering the proposal. Tina McCoy asked for clarification on a return investment. LED lighting, which pays for itself was used as an example and budget neutral plans, where energy savings are equal to lease payments were discussed. Manchester was used as an example; they were able to do this but only by cherry picking projects. This is said to only be a valid option if it's the only way to pass it. Another example used was the Greenland district, they passed and implemented a plan that 70% of was paid for through efficiency. Ken Hajjar started a discussion of what is wanted versus what will pass on a ballot, comparing it to a triage situation. Beth Clark asked Todd Ledoux which building is in most urgent need. Todd replied that RHS is due to out of date controls. Ways to fund the potential project were also discussed.

A Q&A about the District Plan for 80% Proficiency was held. Carlos Maldonado asked if the current infrastructure is impeding progress. Laura Yacek stated class sizes, particularly in Kindergarten, are something that could negatively affect progress. Physical Education being conducted in classrooms (and time taken to move furniture) in some cases, limited to Special Education space, lack of a bathroom in a preschool classroom and travel time for both students and staff due to detached portables and other space issues were also concerns Laura brought up. Tina McCoy mentioned that STEM space is something changing in schools that LRES is currently lacking.

There was a brief discussion about possibly looking at the 2016 addition plans and looking at marketing plans better to voters/making adjustments to it. Beth Clark mentioned that the gymnasium could have been presented as something to be used by organizations aside from the school. Carlos Maldonado added that it could be rented out to AAU teams or other organizations for profit.

Ken Hajjar suggested that we ask Art Wolinsky to make a video with the committee members presenting the LRES building to the public. Todd Ledoux stated that Art had made a video in the past and it was agreed this was worth exploring.

Todd Ledoux brought up the fact that a needs assessment needs to be done first and nobody disagreed. We agreed an enrollment projection is also needed. We discussed possibly having a professional needs assessment done and that it would have to be approved by the School Board. There is nothing currently in the budget for an assessment.

Carlos Maldonado scheduled the next meeting for 10/9/19 and we talked about possibly meeting more often down the road.

At 8:14 PM, Ken Hajjar motioned to adjourn and Beth Clark seconded the motion which then passed unanimously.

Lynd High School

Energy Conservation Measure Number	ECM Description	Cost for Installed Measure	Estimated Annual Energy Savings	Potential Rebate
1	Lighting	\$ 224,000	\$ 14,000	\$ 60,000
2	Controls Upgrades	\$ 349,250	\$ 2,150	
3	HVAC Analytics	\$ 10,000	\$ 1,000	
4	2 New Gas Boilers and Oil Tank removal	\$ 359,100	\$ 2,807	
5	Walk In Cooler Controls	\$ 13,900	\$ 1,200	\$ 5,000
6	Transformers	\$ 42,361	\$ 3,448	\$ 1,500
	Total	\$ 998,611	\$ 24,605	\$ 66,500

Prey River Elementary

Energy Conservation Measure Number	ECM Description	Cost for Installed Measure	Estimated Annual Energy Savings	Potential Rebate
1	Lighting	\$ 80,000	\$ 7,300	\$ 11,000
2	Building Envelope	\$ 30,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 15,000
3	2 New Gas Boilers, Pipe Integration, and Heating Improvements + Oil Tank Removal	\$ 315,210	\$ 3,848	\$ 8,000
4	HVAC Controls	\$ 204,000	\$ 3,500	\$ 15,000
5	Transformers	\$ 13,000	\$ 873	\$ 2,000
6	Library Heating and classroom Repair	\$ 13,390	\$ -	
	Total	\$ 655,600	\$ 18,521	\$ 34,000

Holmes Gove Middle School

Energy Conservation Measure Number	ECM Description	Cost for Installed Measure	Estimated Annual Energy Savings	Potential Rebate
1	Lighting	\$ 110,000	\$ 9,900	\$ 11,000
2	Building Envelope	\$ 15,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 6,000
3	New boilers and tank removal	\$ 338,200	\$ 4,940	
4	Walk In Cooler Controls	\$ 13,000	\$ 1,300	\$ 5,000
5	Transformers	\$ 6,073	\$ 450	\$ 500
	Total	\$ 482,273	\$ 17,590	\$ 22,500

SAU 33 Total	\$ 2,136,484	\$ 60,715	\$ 123,000
---------------------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

Payment & Performance Bond	\$ 21,365
Measurement and Verification	\$ 18,000
Total Project	\$ 2,175,849
Project Total less Rebate	\$ 2,052,849

Estimated Bond Payment	\$183,596.82
Annual Energy savings	\$ 60,715
First year Budget Impact	\$122,881.37