

**Raymond School District Teacher Walk Through Observation Form - Modified 2018- 2019**

<b>Educator:</b>		<b>Observer:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
------------------	--	------------------	--	--------------	--

<b>Class:</b>		<b>Time:</b>	
---------------	--	--------------	--

**Domain 1: Planning and Preparation**

**1c. Setting Instructional Outcomes (Focus Component)**

<b>1 - Unsatisfactory</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outcomes lack rigor.</li> <li>Outcomes do not represent important learning in the discipline.</li> <li>Outcomes are not clear or are stated as activities.</li> <li>Outcomes are not suitable for many students in the class.</li> </ul>	<b>2 - Basic</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outcomes represent a mixture of low expectations and rigor.</li> <li>Some outcomes reflect important learning in the discipline.</li> <li>Outcomes are suitable for most of the class.</li> </ul>	<b>3 - Proficient</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outcomes represent high expectations and rigor.</li> <li>Outcomes are related to "big ideas" of the discipline.</li> <li>Outcomes are written in terms of what students will learn rather than do.</li> <li>Outcomes represent a range of types: factual knowledge, conceptual understanding, reasoning, social interaction, management, and communication.</li> <li>Outcomes, differentiated where necessary, are suitable to groups of students in the class.</li> </ul>	<b>4 - Distinguished</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher's plans reference curricular frameworks or blueprints to ensure accurate sequencing.</li> <li>The teacher connects outcomes to previous and future learning.</li> <li>Outcomes are differentiated to encourage individual students to take educational risks.</li> </ul>
<b>Comments/Recommendations</b>			

**1e. Designing Coherent Instruction (Focus Component)**

<b>1 - Unsatisfactory</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Learning activities are boring and/or not well aligned to the instructional goals.</li> <li>Materials are not engaging or do not meet instructional outcomes.</li> <li>Instructional groups do not support learning.</li> <li>Lesson plans are not structured or sequenced and are unrealistic in their expectations.</li> </ul>	<b>2 - Basic</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Learning activities are moderately challenging.</li> <li>Learning resources are suitable, but there is limited variety.</li> <li>Instructional groups are random, or they only partially support objectives.</li> <li>Lesson structure is uneven or may be unrealistic about time expectations.</li> </ul>	<b>3 - Proficient</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Learning activities are matched to instructional outcomes.</li> <li>Activities provide opportunity for higher-level thinking.</li> <li>The teacher provides a variety of appropriately challenging materials and resources.</li> <li>Instructional student groups are organized thoughtfully to maximize learning and build on students' strengths.</li> <li>The plan for the lesson or unit is well structured, with reasonable time allocations.</li> </ul>	<b>4 - Distinguished</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Activities permit student choice.</li> <li>Learning experiences connect to other disciplines.</li> <li>The teacher provides a variety of appropriately challenging resources that are differentiated for students in the class.</li> <li>Lesson plans differentiate for individual student needs.</li> </ul>
<b>Comments/Recommendations</b>			

**Raymond School District Teacher Walk Through Observation Form - Modified 2018- 2019**

<b>Educator:</b>		<b>Observer:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
------------------	--	------------------	--	--------------	--

**Domain 1: Planning & Preparation**

**If. Designing Student Assessments**

**1 - Unsatisfactory**

- Assessments do not match instructional outcomes.
- Assessments lack criteria.
- No formative assessment have been designed.
- Assessment results do not affect future plans.

**2 - Basic**

- Only some of the instructional outcomes are address in the planned assessments.
- Assessment criteria are vague.
- Plan refer to the use of formative assessments, but they are not fully developed.
- Assessment results are used in design lesson plans for the whole class, not individual students.

**3 - Proficient**

- All the learning outcomes have a method for assessment.
- Assessment types match learning expectations.
- Plans indicate modified assessments when they are necessary for some students.
- Assessment criteria are clearly written.
- Plans include formative assessments to use during instruction.
- Lesson plans indicate possible adjustments based on formative assessment data.

**4 - Distinguished**

- Assessments provide opportunities for student choice.
- Students participate in designing assessments for their own work.
- Teacher-designed assessments are authentic, with real world application as appropriate.
- Students develop rubrics according to teacher-specific learning objectives.
- Students are actively involved in collecting information from formative assessments and provide input.

**Comments/Recommendations:**

**Domain 2: The Classroom Environment**

**2a. CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF RESPECT AND RAPPORT (Focus Component)**

**1 - Unsatisfactory**

- The teacher is disrespectful toward students or insensitive to students' ages, cultural backgrounds, and developmental levels.
- Student body language indicates feelings of hurt, discomfort, or insecurity.
- The teacher displays no familiarity with, or caring about, individual students.
- The teacher disregards disrespectful interactions among students.

**2 - Basic**

- The quality of interactions between teacher and students, or among students, is uneven, with occasional disrespect or insensitivity.
- The teacher attempts to respond to disrespectful behavior among students, with uneven results.
- The teacher attempts to make connections with individual students, but student reactions indicate that these attempts are not entirely successful.

**3 - Proficient**

- Talk between teacher and students and among students is uniformly respectful.
- The teacher successfully responds to disrespectful behavior among students.
- Students participate willingly, but may be somewhat hesitant to offer their ideas in front of classmates.
- The teacher makes general connections with individual students.
- Students exhibit respect for the teacher.

**4 - Distinguished**

- The teacher demonstrates knowledge and caring about individual students' lives beyond the class and school.
- There is no disrespectful behavior among students
- When necessary, students respectfully correct one another.
- Students participate without fear of put-downs or ridicule from either the teacher or other students.
- The teacher respects and encourages students' efforts.

**Comments/Recommendations:**

<b>Educator:</b>		<b>Observer:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
------------------	--	------------------	--	--------------	--

**Domain 2: The Classroom Environment****2b. ESTABLISHING A CULTURE FOR LEARNING (Focus Component)**

<b>1 - Unsatisfactory</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher conveys that there is little or no purpose for the work, or that the reasons for doing it are due to external factors.</li> <li>The teacher conveys to at least some students that the work is too challenging for them.</li> <li>Students exhibit little or no pride in their work.</li> <li>Students use language incorrectly; the teacher does not correct them.</li> </ul>	<b>2 - Basic</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher's energy for the work is neutral, neither indicating a high level of commitment nor ascribing the need to do the work to external forces.</li> <li>The teacher conveys high expectations for only some students.</li> <li>Students exhibit a limited commitment to complete the work on their own; many students indicate that they are looking for an "easy path."</li> <li>The teacher's primary concern appears to be to complete the task at hand.</li> </ul>	<b>3 - Proficient</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher communicates the importance of the content and the conviction that with hard work all students can master the material.</li> <li>The teacher demonstrates a high regard for students' abilities.</li> <li>The teacher conveys an expectation of high levels of student effort.</li> <li>Students expend good effort to complete work of high quality.</li> <li>The teacher insists on precise use of language by students.</li> </ul>	<b>4 - Distinguished</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher communicates passion for the subject.</li> <li>The teacher conveys the satisfaction that accompanies a deep understanding of complex content.</li> <li>Students indicate through their questions and comments a desire to understand the content.</li> <li>Students assist their classmates in understanding the content.</li> <li>Students take initiative in improving the quality of their work.</li> <li>Students correct one another in their use of language.</li> </ul>
<b>Comments/Recommendations</b>			

**Domain 3: Instruction****3b. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques**

<b>1 - Unsatisfactory</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Questions are rapid-fire and convergent, with a single correct answer.</li> <li>Questions do not invite student thinking.</li> <li>All discussion is between the teacher and students; students are not invited to speak directly to one another.</li> <li>The teacher does not ask students to explain their thinking.</li> <li>Only a few students dominate the discussion.</li> </ul>	<b>2 - Basic</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher frames some questions designed to promote student thinking, but many have a single correct answer and the teacher calls on students quickly.</li> <li>The teacher invites students to respond directly to one another's ideas, but few students respond.</li> <li>The teacher calls on many students, but only a small number actually participate in the discussion.</li> <li>The teacher asks students to explain their reasoning, but only some students attempt to do so.</li> </ul>	<b>3 - Proficient</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The teacher uses open-ended questions, inviting students to think and/or offer multiple possible answers.</li> <li>The teacher makes effective use of wait time.</li> <li>Discussions enable students to talk to one another without ongoing mediation by teacher.</li> <li>The teacher calls on most students, even those who don't initially volunteer.</li> <li>Many students actively engage in the discussion.</li> <li>The teacher asks students to justify their reasoning, and most attempt to do so.</li> </ul>	<b>4 - Distinguished</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students initiate higher-order questions.</li> <li>The teacher builds on and uses student responses to questions in order to deepen student understanding.</li> <li>Students extend the discussion, enriching it.</li> <li>Students invite comments from their classmates during a discussion and challenge one another's thinking.</li> <li>Virtually all students are engaged in the discussion.</li> </ul>
<b>Comments/Recommendations</b>			

<b>Educator:</b>		<b>Observer:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
------------------	--	------------------	--	--------------	--

**Domain 3: Instruction****3c. ENGAGING STUDENTS IN LEARNING (Focus Component)****1 - Unsatisfactory**

- Few students are intellectually engaged in the lesson.
- Learning tasks/activities and materials require only recall or have a single correct response or method.
- Instructional materials used are unsuitable to the lesson and/or the students.
- The lesson drags or is rushed.
- Only one type of instructional group is used (whole group, small groups) when variety would promote more student engagement.

**2 - Basic**

- Some students are intellectually engaged in the lesson.
- Learning tasks are a mix of those requiring thinking and those requiring recall.
- Student engagement with the content is largely passive; the learning consists primarily of facts or procedures.
- The materials and resources are partially aligned to the lesson objectives.
- Few of the materials and resources require student thinking or ask students to explain their thinking.
- The pacing of the lesson is uneven--suitable in parts but rushed or dragging in others.
- The instructional groupings used are partially appropriate to the activities.

**3 - Proficient**

- Most students are intellectually engaged in the lesson.
- Most learning tasks have multiple correct responses or approaches and/or encourage higher-order thinking.
- Students are invited to explain their thinking as part of completing tasks.
- Materials and resources support the learning goals and require intellectual engagement, as appropriate.
- The pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to be intellectually engaged.
- The teacher uses groupings that are suitable to the lesson activities.

**4 - Distinguished**

- Virtually all students are intellectually engaged in the lesson.
- Lesson activities require high-level student thinking and explanations of their thinking.
- Students take initiative to improve the lesson by (1) modifying a learning task to make it more meaningful or relevant to their needs, (2) suggesting modifications to the grouping patterns used, and/or (3) suggesting modifications or additions to the materials being used.
- Students have an opportunity for reflection and closure on the lesson to consolidate their understanding.

**Comments/Recommendations****3d. USING ASSESSMENT IN INSTRUCTION (Focus Component)****1 - Unsatisfactory**

- The teacher gives no indication of what high-quality work looks like.
- The teacher makes no effort to determine whether students understand the lesson.
- Students receive no feedback, or feedback is global or directed to only one student.
- The teacher does not ask students to evaluate their own work or the work of their classmates. .

**2 - Basic**

- There is little evidence that the students understand how their work will be evaluated.
- The teacher monitors understanding through a single method, or without eliciting evidence of understanding from students.
- Feedback to students is vague and not oriented toward future improvement of work.
- The teacher makes only minor attempts to engage students in self or peer assessment.

**3 - Proficient**

- The teacher makes the standards of high-quality work clear to students.
- The teacher elicits evidence of student understanding.
- Students are invited to assess their own work and make improvements; most of them do so.
- Feedback includes specific and timely guidance, at least for groups of students.

**4 - Distinguished**

- Students indicate that they clearly understand the characteristics of high-quality work, and there is evidence that students have helped establish the evaluation criteria.
- The teacher is constantly "taking the pulse" of the class; monitoring of student understanding is sophisticated and continuous and makes use of strategies to elicit information about individual student understanding.
- Students monitor their own understanding, either on their own initiative or as a result of tasks set by the teacher.
- High-quality feedback comes from many sources, including students; it is specific and focused on improvement.

**Comments/Recommendations**

The items not checked on this form were items that were not observed during the walk through observation. It is not an indication that they did not happen over the entire class

**Raymond School District Teacher Walk Through Observation Form - Modified 2018- 2019**

<b>Educator:</b>		<b>Observer:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
------------------	--	------------------	--	--------------	--

period.

<b>Educator Comments:</b>	
---------------------------	--

An educator's Professional Practice Rating will be determined at the time of the summative evaluation. It will be based upon the following four domains of Charlotte Danielson's Framework of Teaching: Domain 1: Planning and Preparation, Domain 2: The Classroom Environment, Domain 3: Instruction, Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities. Not all the components and domains are observable in the classroom observation process, especially Domain 4. There is an expectation that all educators will demonstrate proficient performance in each of the focus components as identified in the Raymond Educator Effectiveness Plan.

<b>Teacher Signature:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Administrator Signature:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	

The signature of the educator does not signify agreement or disagreement with the content of the observation or evaluation write-up, but only that he/she has seen it and it has been reviewed with the administrator.